



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,504	03/01/2004	Dar-Ming Chiang	250913-1140	2741
24504 7590 10/23/2007 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 600 GALLERIA PARKWAY STE 1500 ATLANTA, GA 30339				
EXAMINER				
DESAL, ANISH P				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1794				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
10/23/2007		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Continuation of Box 3:

It is noted that Applicant has incorporated claim 45 into claim 39, thus claim 39 is now claim 45. The proposed modification to claim 39 changes the scope of the dependent claims that depend from the newly amended claim 39, creating combinations that were previously not considered. Therefore, further search and consideration is necessary.

Continuation of Box 11:

Regarding Applicant's response, the response is not found persuasive because it relies on an amendment that is not entered into consideration.

Continuation of Box 13:

It is noted that Applicant has asserted that the Examiner has made the Office Action dated 07/13/07 FINAL even though it was the first action following RCE. Applicant asserts that this is improper because claims were amended in the submission that accompanied the RCE and the amendment would have been refused entry had it not been filled with RCE. It appears that Applicant has misunderstood the Examiner's reasons for making first action following RCE final. The Office Action was made final because the amendment submitted with the RCE were previously entered into consideration by the Examiner when Applicant filled the After Final amendment on 02/09/07. The Examiner respectfully requests Applicant to review the Advisory Action dated 03/02/07. The claims in the RCE were drawn to the same invention that was claimed in the After Final amendment. Thus, the first action on the RCE was made FINAL. The Examiner respectfully reminds Applicant that if he/she continues to

Art Unit: 1794

disagree with the Examiner's position then a petition to remove the finality of the FINAL rejection should be filled by the Applicant.